

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/663,020 09/15/00 BACHER J 16026-9267 **EXAMINER** HM22/0726 MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP CHUNDURU, S ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** P O BOX 1806 MADISON WI 53701-1806 1656 DATE MAILED: 07/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

· · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/663,020	BACHER ET AL.
	Office Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Suryaprabha Chunduru	1656
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
otatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 155	Sentember 2000	
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 			
Attachment(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/663,020

Art Unit: 1656

Restriction/Election

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-34, drawn to a method of analyzing micro-satellite loci, all requiring
 SEO ID NOS. 1-62, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 35-47, drawn to a kit for detecting microsatellite instability, all requiringSEQ ID NOS. 1-62, classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Group II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of using the product in Group I can be used in a materially different processes such as hybridization assays, gene therapy assays.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In this application additionally, no matter which group applicant elects, applicant is required to specify one pair specific nucleotide sequences for examination. This requirement is made under 1192 O.G. 68 Notice (November 19, 1996 and revised MPEP), as the examination of more than one sequence in the application would result in an undue search burden on the PTO.

Election of Species:

Application/Control Number: 09/663,020

Art Unit: 1656

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Claims 1-34, drawn to the method of analyzing microsatellite loci comprising nucleotide repeat loci selected from the group consisting of FGA, D1S518, D1s547, D1S1677, D2S1790, D3S2432, D5S818, D5S818, D5S2849, D6S1053, D7S3046, D7S1808, D7S3070, D8S1179, D9S2169, D10S1426, D10S2470, D12S391, D17S1294, D17S1299, D18S51, BAT-25, BAT-26, MONO-11, MONO-15.
- b. Claims 35-47 drawn to a kit consisting of nucleotide repeat loci selected from the group consisting of FGA, D1S518, D1S547, D1S1677, D2S1790, D3S2432, D5S818, D5S818, D5S2849, D6S1053, D7S3046, D7S1808, D7S3070, D8S1179, D9S2169, D10S1426, D10S2470, D12S391, D17S1294, D17S1299, D18S51, BAT-25, BAT-26, MONO-11, MONO-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/663,020

Art Unit: 1656 `

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/663,020 Page 5

Art Unit: 1656

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru July 24, 2001

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER